

The Washington City Council met in a continued session on Monday, May 16, 2011 at 5:30 pm in the City Council Chambers at the Municipal Building. Present were: Bobby Roberson, Mayor Pro tem; Doug Mercer, Councilman; Edward Moultrie, Councilman; Gil Davis, Councilman; William Pitt, Councilman; Pete Connet, Interim City Manager; Cynthia S. Bennett, City Clerk and Franz Holscher, City Attorney.

Mayor Jennings was absent and excused from the meeting.

Also present were: Matt Rauschenbach, Chief Financial Officer; Robbie Rose, Fire Chief; Mick Reed, Police Chief; Allen Lewis, Public Works Director; John Rodman, Planning Director; Philip Mobley, Parks and Recreation Director; Susan Hodges, Human Resource Director; Lynn Lewis, Tourism Director; Mike Voss, of the Washington Daily News and Delma Blinson, of the Beaufort Observer.

Mayor Pro tem Roberson called the meeting to order and Councilman Moultrie delivered the invocation.

Mayor Pro Roberson asked the City Attorney, Franz Holscher to explain the terms of the voting process due to the absence of Mayor Jennings. Mr. Holscher addressed this issue by stating the opinion of Mr. David Lawrence and the attorney's opinion when the Mayor Pro tem assumes the Mayor position, the Mayor Pro tem maintains his authority to vote but in the event there is a tie he cannot break the tie.

#### **APPROVAL/AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA**

Mayor Pro tem Roberson requested adding Fred Watkins as item 1.A. – Dock Facilities.

By motion of Councilman Pitt, seconded by Councilman Moultrie, Council approved the agenda as amended.

#### **PRESENTATION – DAVID GOSSETT – BUDGET REQUEST FOR WILDLIFE FESTIVAL & DOCK DOGS COMPETITION**

Chairman of the Wildlife Arts Festival, Mr. David Gossett requests the continued support of the Wildlife Arts Show and Dock Dogs Competition in the amount of \$8,300. Of the \$8,300 - \$5,750 will support the Dock Dogs competition and \$2,550 will be used to help offset some of the City's fees and permits.

#### **PRESENTATION – FRED WATKINS – RELOCATING NEW DOCKS TO DIFFERENT LOCATIONS**

Mayor Pro tem Roberson directed Council attention to the hand out information presented by Mr. Fred Watkins. Mr. Watkins who serves on WHDA Economic Restructuring Committee presented the proposal to relocate the new waterfront dock construction from A & B docks to G, H, I, J and K Docks. This recommendation will free up the area of A & B dock to meet the requirement of the Budding Infrastructure Grant or Transient Docks by moving the permanent renters in that area to new facility that will save money. The recommendation is as follows.

- Fill in with new pilings and decking all the spaces between G, H, I, J, K Docks and create one continuous "alongside" dock.
- Create twelve (12) new 45' long by 20' wide slips and a Drop Off and Pick Up dock using Dock G, H and the new "alongside" dock created using the space between G, H and I.
- The continuous alongside dock created by Docks I, J, and K will be used by day boaters and transient boaters as they are now for shopping, visiting downtown restaurants, Festival Park and the NC Estuarium. (this is a modification of Free Dock Alternative 1 eliminating slips at I, J, K Docks.)
- Relocate all slip renters at A & B Docks to the newly created slips at G & H Docks.

- A & B Docks will be designated transient docks as defined by the BIG-Program (Boating Infrastructure Grant Program).

Mr. Watkins stated this whole thing is designed to save the City money. Understanding the City is beginning the budget process and he understands the proposal is now approximately \$432,000 to complete the facilities at A & B Dock. The original proposal was approximately \$305,000 (\$200,000 Big Infrastructure Grant, \$25,000 cash from the City and \$79,676 in-kind match). Mr. Watkins suggests his cost proposal would be around \$166,500 with a fudge factor of \$200,000. Cost Estimate as proposed:

Alongside Dock (8' X 60' long X 4) Decking	1920 Sq Ft	\$50	\$96,000
Finger Piers (3' X 20' X 6 piers) Decking	360 Sq Ft	\$50	\$18,000
Drop Off & Pick Up Dock (6' X 40') Decking	240 Sq Ft	\$50	\$12,000
Pilings for Free Dock Alternative 1 modified:	81	\$500	\$40,500
Total Cost Estimate			<b>\$166,500</b>

Mr. Watkins noted this is a 41% overage of what the City agreed to in 2008. In Mr. Watkins recent consultation with Mr. David Moye with NCDENR, he suggested the following steps for likely approval within 45 days as this is a minor modification of an existing permit:

- Submit a letter requesting a minor modification to an existing permit.
- Submit \$100 permit fee with letter.
- Provide drawings to scale. He indicated this should be an easy modification if the original plans were done on the computer.

Mr. Watkins stated final project approval resides with Ms. Kelly Price with the Division of Marine Fisheries, Administrators of the BIG Program. Mr. Watkins is requesting permission from Council to discuss this proposal with Ms. Price.

Councilman Davis stated this needs to be review by the Recreation Advisory Board before Council makes a decision. Mr. Watkins noted they are not trying to supersede the Recreation Advisory Board but requesting to talk to Ms. Kelly Price with Division of Marine Fisheries as a citizen to see if they will be allowed to make the modification. Mr. Connet stated that in an email (received today and in front of Council) Ms. Price said that this will not meet requirements of the BIG-P grant. Mr. Connet stated this was a chance to have Mr. Watkins share his idea and no action required from Council.

Mr. Watkins asked if funding would be available for the docks in October and requested that Council approve the funds that need to be available for the BIG-P grant. Mr. Watkins was informed the money has already been appropriated. Councilman Mercer stated there will be no change orders to increase cost above \$432,000.

#### **PRESENTATION – KEVIN RATIGAN, - ADG – POLICE FACILITY**

Chief Reed introduced Mr. Kevin Ratigan with ADG to present an update of the progress on the Police Facility. Mr. Ratigan presented the following schedule update:

#### **Washington Police Facility**

ADG Project No. 824/1.14

1. Previous City Council Meeting 3/8/2011
  - Directions to Proceed with Site Survey and Geotech,  
Continue with Schematic Design.

2. Geotech and Survey Complete	3/31/2011
- Update to City Council	4/11/2011
3. FAA land Release Submitted	4/14/2011
4. 50% Complete Design Development	5/16/2011
100% Complete Design Development	(6/6/2011)
*Scheduled Design Development (4/15/2011)	
5. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Committee / Council Meeting	5/16/2011
- Present Update (50% Design Development)	
- *Scheduled Council Update (5/2/2011)	
6. Construction Documents	
-Complete 90% Submittal	9/12/2011
*Scheduled Submittal (6/13/2011)	
*Scheduled Detailed Cost Estimate (7/4/2011)	
-Complete 50% Submittal	7/11/2011
7. Issue for Bids	10/17/2011

**Washington Police Facility**  
 Cost Update – May 12, 2011

**Building**

14,963 SF @ \$170/SF \$ 2,543,710

- Steel Frame with Brick Veneer
- Steel Roof Trusses with Standing Seam Metal Roof

**Sitework**

- Site Prep and Grading \$ 134,750  
 3.5 acres @ 38,500/acre
- Utilities (Lump Sum) \$ 55,000
- Landscape and Irrigation (Code Minimum) \$ 60,000
- Paved Parking / 71 spaces @ \$2,900/space \$ 205,900
- Unpaved Parking / 21 spaces @ \$800 \$ 16,800  
 (Stabilized Grade Only)

**Technology**

- IT / Telecom (Lump Sum) \$ 38,000  
 (re-use existing phone switch)
- Security (Lump Sum) \$ 41,000
- Emergency Power Generator \$ 140,000

**Total Estimate \$3,235,160**  
**(No FF&E, unless noted)**

Mr. Ratigan introduced Richard King, Architect with Dunn and Dalton in Kinston. Mr. King will be working on the project with ADG and will be the primary contact person when the project goes into construction. Mr. King has already been looking over the design and helping them understand how to create a durable, useful and economical building. This next set of drawings provided tonight is the Design Development Drawing. Mr. Ratigan stated based upon that set of drawing (construction system) and the building now 15,000 square foot (by direction of Council) the input received from Mr. King Firm the building would cost @170 sq. ft. vs. \$185 sq. ft., (an approximate savings of \$200,000). Mr. Ratigan stated the site construction is running about \$6,000 more than quoted before and he has added a Technology category to the building.

Mayor Pro tem Roberson requested Mr. Ratigan provide the cost detailing open or enclosed courtyards at the next regular scheduled meeting of the City Council. Mr.

Ratigan shared that the direction received from Council was to design the building so it could be easily expanded and those areas can be easily expanded to enclose the space in the future with much less cost.

Councilman Pitt inquired as to alternative energy sources and Mr. Ratigan stated they will be using green technology - dimmers, motions sensors & water conserving elements.

Mr. Ratigan shared the FAA Land Release has been submitted. Submittals issuing project for bids in October of 2011 and hope to be under construction before the end of the year.

Mayor Pro tem Roberson directed the Manager to reduce down to 8 ½ x 11 to show the front, side, and rear elevation of the building and in addition, show the actual use of the building, please email in PDF format.

Councilman Mercer directed questions to staff concerning grant funding. Ms. Gentile noted Federal Funding of \$800,000 is currently under review.

By request from Council, Ms. Gentile will present a summary of the money at the June 13<sup>th</sup> meeting.

#### **DISCUSSION – MR. PETE CONNET BUDGET OVERVIEW**

Interim City Manager, Pete Connet stated they would go through and let Council address any questions they have. Mr. Connet referenced the emailed forwarded to Council concerning Benefits & Pay and the suggested changes. Mr. Connet voiced that \$480 year per employee would cost the City \$42,000 more in addition to the \$80,000 already in the budget.

Mayor Pro tem Roberson reviewed Budget Summary starting on page 15 in the budget book. Mayor Pro tem Roberson complimented the staff on the debt service at the end – in FY 09-10 it was \$545,191 and the upcoming proposal is \$428,352. The overall budget in the General Administration is less than the previous year and followed the direction given by Council.

Councilman Mercer directed staff to provide the following information concerning the Electric Fund: 1.) \$100,000 for transformer at the White Post Substation. The relocation of the one at Wharton Station last year was budgeted for \$35,000 and the budget for the one at White Post is \$100,000 please explain why the cost has escalated to \$100,000. 2.) Why we are going to White Post rather than Slatestone as recommended in the report. Mr. Connet stated they would have this information available for the next regular meeting.

Councilman Mercer requested to go back to page 10/11 to discuss the transfers from water funds and transfers on sewer funds and would like this explained. He understands that the loss is to Powell Fund money but this is a total of \$225,000 and the Powell Fund reduction last year was \$170,000 and Mr. Connet shared they are trying to recoup 2 years. Councilman Mercer voiced he would like to continue to pursue the issue of the \$1.7 million. Mayor Pro tem Roberson stated we need a bill in the General Assembly and we should be able to get our local representatives to sponsor this and the City would have to adopt a resolution. Mr. Connet suggested a resolution by June 13<sup>th</sup> added to the annexation bill.

Councilman Mercer voiced two concerns before going to page 15: 1.) Differing cost for the phone lines and 2.) Replacement of computers – why not have IT purchase all computers and budget them. For clarity, Mayor Pro tem Roberson mentioned the transfer of positions and Mr. Rauschenbach stated consolidation of services and some departments were moved from two departments into one. If this is the case we need to update the organizational chart.

Mayor Pro tem Roberson expressed concern over the increase in Economic Development and requested explanation on the increase (\$128,755 vs. \$170,000). Mr. Rauschenbach stated the main difference was the Harbor District Alliance. There weren't any funds in the FY 09-10.

Councilman Mercer requested a budget statement or audit from the Outside Agencies receiving more than \$25,000 a year (i.e. Harbor District Alliance, Arts Council and others). Mr. Connet stated you could and the City can make this a requirement. Mayor Pro tem expressed concern with the Committee of 100 and Mr. Connet noted he met with the County to discuss contribution from the City of Washington, County and other Towns. Council directed Mr. Connet to forward this to them by email.

Page 22 – **City Manager**, Councilman Mercer suggested the stenographer on the Human Relations Council uses CTO instead of paying \$50. Mr. Connet stated they will look into this.

Mr. Connet suggested there may be a need to make some adjustments in line items to cover the relocation of the City Manager.

Page 27 – **Human Resources**, Mayor Pro tem Roberson requested a summary on the Contract Services - Benefit Consultant on page 25. Mr. Connet shared that Mark III Brokerage is the consultant that goes out to get the best quotes, bids on insurance, Blue Cross Blue Shield, helps with plan design that fits within the City budget constraints and management & information reports. They are also involved with the Health Insurance, open enrollment. The City put this out for bids and received quotes from four (4) different agencies on Health Insurance. Ms. Hodges explained two of the things that happened:

1. The co-pay plan for some services
2. High deductible (everything goes toward the deductible)

Ms. Hodges stated the most cost effective thing was to stay with that plan although it is forcing a lot of people toward the high deductible HSA plan. If they stay with the additions PPO plan it will increase up to \$225 per month for that individual. Mr. Rauschenbach and Ms. Hodges explain the options on two insurance plans that would be provided for City employees.

Councilman Moultrie voiced he was concern for the employees and requested finding \$ for \$ for employees – we find it for everything else.

Mayor Pro tem Roberson requested sending cost analysis of insurance to Council with a general overview and how it affects the employees. Ms. Hodges stated the will be sending one out tomorrow to the will be implemented as we go forward.

Page 28 – **Finance**, Chief Financial Officer, Matt Rauschenbach mentioned the decrease in Contract Services – Audit.

Page 31 – **Purchasing/Warehouse**, Councilman Mercer voiced concerns on the number of phone lines with the amount of employees. Staff was instructed to get an answer. Also, he expressed concern on rental on uniform lockers – can we purchase a locker cheaper then we can rent one?

Recess at 6:40 pm.

Page 34 – **Information Services**, Councilman Mercer expressed concerns with replacement computers. Mr. Carraway shared this was implemented last year in case an emergency arose that was not budgeted. Mr. Carraway shared he had to use two (2) spares and another computer for cash collections because of software. Currently the units are in place but need to be replaced. The ones that were removed from cash collections were five (5) years old. Mr. Rauschenbach stated there will be no more than two (2)

backups. Councilman Mercer noted he had already expressed his concerns with telephones. Lastly, \$1200 was put in the budget last year to tape Council meetings but he has not seen one taping. Mr. Carraway & Mr. Rauschenbach explained this was funding for the PEG Channel revenue and this funding comes in from the State.

Page 37 – **Billing Department**, Councilman Mercer requested explanation on the two (2) phones for 1 ½ employees and Mr. Rauschenbach commented the ½ time person works ½ time upstairs and ½ time downstairs and this provide and extension for both desk.

Page 39 – **Customer Service**, Councilman Mercer reiterated the need to update the organizational chart. Also, he expressed concerns over the temporary service employees' and the significant amount of overtime monies (\$6500) and Mr. Rauschenbach and Ms. Radcliffe explained. Councilman Mercer suggested he has observed one person collecting money with as many as eight people in line and another employee should get up to help clear the line. Mayor Pro tem Roberson requested the Manager and staff check into this situation.

Mayor Pro tem Roberson questioned the budget set-up under marketing and promotions - the increased from \$16,987 to \$20,000 and inquired if this was due to the contract with WITN and Mr. Rauschenbach stated yes. Also, the tax collection fee deviates between \$82,400 and \$85,000 is \$82,000 a standard number Ms. Radcliffe responded yes. Councilman Mercer questioned the WDN program (20 inch column twice a week) the contract was for \$12,000 and was to be discussed during budget deliberations.

Mayor Pro tem Roberson suggested a meeting between Councilman Mercer, Councilman Pitt, Councilman Moultrie, Pete Connet and himself referencing marketing design it is very important to get this information out to the public. Councilman Mercer suggested he prefers the larger city page.

Councilman Mercer questioned the \$1600 insurance premium for division vehicles – please be consistent in justification with the language set-up in all departments.

Page 44 – **Legal Services**, – Mayor Pro tem Roberson suggested doing a better job on court cases – reduce the number of times of going to court.

Page 49 – **Miscellaneous**, - Councilman Mercer questioned Special Events being charged under miscellaneous (overtime – downtown events). He suggested moving the ones listed under Police/Fire Department budget to this area as well. Mr. Connet stated this should be in addition to their regular overtime. Mayor Pro tem Roberson clarified by stating under Police/Fire the overtime is their normal operating set-up and when you have special events the overtime is set-up under miscellaneous to find out what the cost is (to ensure quicker break-out capabilities). Mr. Connet shared this is covered in the justification. Mr. Rauschenbach stated this expense normally occurs through Parks and Recreation and Public Works (the set-up and take-down) Police and Fire is carried in their own departments. Ms. Radcliffe stated this could be listed in the Police/Fire - same line item under non-departmental so it can be tracked as well.

Councilman Davis inquired of the \$73,209 in cemetery fund and Mr. Radcliffe stated it was to subsidized operations. Councilman Davis questioned why it wasn't in the Public Works budget instead miscellaneous.

Page 52 – **Economic Development**, - Councilman Mercer mentioned the request from the Turnage Theater for additional funding this year. Council members stated Turnage Theater shows up under outside agencies and will be discussed at that time. Mayor Pro tem Roberson questioned the increase of \$91,780 for EDC. Staff will check into it and report back to Council.

Page 56 – **Contingency**, - Mayor Pro tem Roberson questioned if staff felt comfortable with the contingency being less than \$50,000 with the proposed budget. Mr. Connet said it was a balancing number.

Page 59 – **Police Department**, - Chief Reed addressed questions received from Councilman Mercer concerning special training, uniforms and ballistic vest. Chief Reed explained why funding shows up in special training and employment development cost. Chief Reed agreed that the wording under employee development should be changed (it is two different things).

Mayor Pro tem Roberson requested a summary of installment purchases. Chief Reed explained the cost (\$82,500) and the need to replace 3 police vehicles and equipment as outlined in the City's replacement schedule.

Page 70 – **E-911 Communications**, - City Manager, Pete Connet directed Council attention to the hand-out memorandum for discussion of transfer of 911 dispatch to Beaufort County. Mr. Connet explained that the total estimated annual savings to the City would be approximately \$17,766/month. If a merger was implemented at the end of 4 months (October 31, 2011) into FY 2011-12 savings would be \$142,133 for the first year. If the merger didn't occur until the end of 6 months (December 21, 2011) into FY 2011-12 the savings would be \$106,596 for the first year for consolidation into one dispatch center. Not included in these numbers are the additional one-time costs to the City for those employees displaced and subject to the Reduction in Force (RIF) Policy of the City. The one-time cost to the City that would need to be deducted from any of the scenarios shown above is approximately \$39,000. This estimated cost is worst case, and assumes that none of the six current employees are either hired or transferred to the newly created Central Dispatch. Mr. Connet proposed Council to set up a meeting with the County if they decide to move forward with the proposal.

Councilman Davis stated at this point we should table this discussion until the new County and City Managers get on board – no decision now.

Councilman Moultrie voiced that the County Commissioners don't want to be bothered with this proposal at all right now.

Councilman Mercer stated we did step 1 – need to take step 2. Step 2 would complete the transfer and we need to ask County Commissioners if they are willing to accept responsibility for dispatch if the response is yes then request a cost of doing it and suggested we send a letter. He doesn't see the need to delay the request to the County until the new Managers are on board.

Chief Reed expressed his position and requested Council understanding of his concerns on this issue. The most valuable commodity in public safety is information. He understands that the 911 decision has been made but we have the best communications people in the world. He can provide Council with a list on the technical side that the City will loss as well as from the personnel & personal. Chief Reed stated he is concern that this topic keeps staying there, he feels for what the communications people are going through right now. The level of service to the City of Washington will be reduced if this is not done correctly and everyone does not have a say. Chief Reed stated the definition of "community policing" is to mold the public safety based on the needs of that particular community. Chief Reed voiced they will not be able to take a victim domestic violence who is looking for protection 1 or 2 in the morning. He asked Council forgiveness for his passion but he has live through that and has personal experience. Public Safety is a package deal, our communications people is a non-stop process that involves hot files, warrants, call history, traffic stops, emergencies. Chief Reed is seeking the opportunity for Council to understand how important this division is to the overall workings of public safety. Chief Rose said he wholeheartedly agrees with everything Chief Reed has said and backs Chief Reed comments 100%. It is the most vital part and asset of emergency

communication and feels the City need to hold on to that part of the asset while we still have some control.

Councilman Mercer asked Chief Reed if we would lose anything by writing the County Commissioners and Chief Reed responded 'yes-sir'. Councilman Mercer requested he explain his answer. Chief Reed stated we lose these individuals knowing whether they have a job over the next twelve months. He is concern we will be losing good people, these people are trained and certified because of the uncertainty. Councilman Mercer asked if he understood Councilman Davis correctly that the letter would either be written now or sometime in July. Councilman Davis said 'no' that wasn't his suggestion. His suggestion was once new managers are hired let's discuss it to see where it stands- let's not make any decision until that happens. Both Chief Reed and Chief Rose are important in this process.

Councilman Moultrie stated not to write a letter at all – leave the employees alone. Employees are scared as hell regarding livelihood.

Councilman Pitt noted a centralized location would involve everyone in County. Telecommunicator's are the first responders. Councilman Pitt works in this field and believes there are a few things that haven't been considered. When you speak Centralized Communication – that's everybody and everybody will need to be on board. We do not need to be conservative about safety. When that phone rings you don't know what the situation will be and it is a tough job. Councilman Pitt agrees with Councilman Moultrie, people are frightened in the City of Washington (worried about their job).

Mayor Pro tem Roberson requested a vote by consensus. Everyone agreed to delay step 2 excluding Councilman Mercer.

Councilman Mercer stated that the salaries in the Police Department calls for 42 full-time positions including the 1 position that is partially grant funded (gang/drug investigator). The 1 position that requires grant funding no longer has grant funds. Chief Reed requested Councilman Mercer define which position he was addressing and Councilman Mercer stated Project Next Step. Chief Reed said they are requesting Council fund that position because the grant has run out and funds are gone. Chief Reed feels that the success of that projects justifies keeping it for one more year. Chief Reed explained what they had done within the department to fill this position.

Councilman Mercer stated he has a problem with growing our staff because we have grant funding positions and when the grant runs out we keep the people. Mayor Pro tem Roberson inquired of Chief Reed how many staff position has been increased since he has been Chief of Police and Chief Reed responded none that he is aware of. In 2007 that had two positions that were not funded he requested funding for those two positions and Council granted for those positions to be funded. To the best of his knowledge the staffing has not been increased. Councilman Mercer stated in 07-08 the personnel roster states 39 budgeted positions, in 08-09 41 positions and 10-11 42 positions. Chief Reed said if he remembered correctly there were two grant funding positions in 07-08 that did not appear on the organization chart.

Councilman Pitt expressed what an excellent opportunity it has been to have Project Next Step. Without Project Next Step some of our young people would have been in the Beaufort County Jail and it is definitely worth the money to fund this program.

By consensus, Council agreed to fund Project Next Step by a 3-1 vote. Voting for Councilman Davis, Pitt and Moultrie and Councilman Mercer voted against.

Recess at 8:00 pm.

By motion Councilman Davis, seconded by Councilman Moultrie, by consensus Council agreed to complete Fire/Rescue/EMS budget by 8:30 pm and continue the meeting until the next budget session.

Page 74 – **Fire Department**, Councilman Mercer asked Chief Rose which rescue vehicle he planned to replace and Chief Rose stated it was the 03 Ford. Councilman Pitt inquired if new EMS 2 would go to Station 1 or 2 and Chief Rose said the new truck will go to Station 1.

Page 81 – **EMS**, Councilman Mercer asked Chief Rose to explain the stretcher which he did. Councilman Davis inquired about the Boat and Chief Rose explained (general maintenance).

Page 86 – **INSPECTIONS**, Councilman Pitt inquired about the number of demolitions and Mr. Rodman stated they have a list which will be coming to Council in July. Mr. Rodman did state the houses will be prioritized.

Page 89 – **Planning/Zoning**, Councilman Mercer questioned software (\$5,000) and Mr. Rodman explained this software would have more capabilities (combine building inspections, code-enforcement and zoning activities). It will also expand the GIS capabilities. Councilman Pitt inquired about GIS will allow expanded map capabilities and Mr. Rodman said it would (hoping to have the zoning map back on the website). Councilman Davis inquired about the Comprehensive Plan and Mr. Rodman explained and cited the bidding process. Mayor Pro tem Roberson suggested if bids are late you shouldn't consider it reject all bids and rebid and Council agreed.

Mayor Pro tem Roberson stated this will conclude the Public Safety side.

**ADJOURN** – UNTIL MONDAY, MAY 23, 2011 AT 5:30 PM IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS AT THE MUNICIPAL BUILDING

By motion of Mayor Pro tem Roberson, seconded by Councilman Davis, Council adjourned the meeting at 8:30 pm until Monday, May 23, 2011 at 5:30 am in the Council Chambers at the Municipal.

---

**Cynthia S. Bennett, CMC**  
City Clerk